A Self-Learning Resource From MSU Extension



MT201817AG Revised 5/19

# Forage Nitrate Analysis: What Method to Use?

by Emily Meccage, PhD, MSU Extension Forage Specialist; Zach Miller, PhD, Superintendent, Western Agricultural Research Center, MSU; and Danielle Peterson, MS, MSU Forage Research Lab Manager

Utilizing tests to determine nitrate accumulation in forage is an important tool for producers. This publication outlines the protocols and efficacy of the Nitrate QuikTest, Nitrate Strip Test, and Commercial Laboratory Analysis.

#### ALL RANCHES RELY ON FORAGES SUCH AS

native range, introduced pasture, or hay to feed their livestock. Many livestock enterprises in Montana use a combination of several types of forages, including some that have the potential to contain toxic levels of nitrates  $(NO_{3})$ . Nitrate toxicity associated with feeding forages reduces an animal's ability to transport oxygen in the blood, especially young livestock, and can have major implications on livestock production. Animals subject to chronic NO3- toxicity due to sustained moderate levels of NO<sub>3</sub> in feed and/or water exhibit reductions in appetite, reproduction, and productivity. Acute NO<sub>3</sub>, toxicity, which is characterized by animals consuming forages with toxic levels of  $NO_{3-}$  in a short amount of time, can be fatal. Ruminants like cattle, sheep, and goats are more prone to NO<sub>3</sub>, toxicity than non-ruminants such as horses and pigs.

Annual cereal forages, such as wheat, barley, and oats, are prone to accumulating  $NO_{3-}$  that can harm livestock and ranch profits. Other species, including some grasses, sorghum, corn, brassicas, millet, sweet clover, alfalfa and weeds, such as kochia, lambsquarter, and pigweed, can also accumulate  $NO_{3-}$ . Beyond species, there are many factors that are implicated in toxicity, including: environment, water availability, forage maturity, herbicide, and fertilizer use, among others. This document will discuss various methods of

testing forages for potential NO<sub>3</sub>. toxicity. For more information regarding NO<sub>3</sub>. toxicity in animals, please refer to the MSU Extension MontGuide *Nitrate Toxicity of Montana Forages* (<u>MT200205AG</u>).

# **Recommended Nitrate Levels**

Nitrate levels in plants fluctuate depending on several factors: environmental conditions such as drought, hail, or frost; producer management techniques, including manure or fertilizer application; time of day at harvest; and forage species. Variation in plant NO<sub>3</sub>. levels has led to the development of field tests that can estimate  $NO_{3}$  - levels in forage. These tests can be used prior to harvest to help producers reduce the risk of harvesting and feeding forages that contain toxic levels of NO<sub>3</sub>; this is particularly important as levels do not decrease after harvest. Nitrate tests are also valuable for use post-harvest to adjust feed rations based on NO3- levels. Additionally, some of these tests can be used to test for NO<sub>3</sub> in water. Water can have high NO3- content in some wells and ditches, which can be a significant NO3- source to livestock. It is important to account for both feed and water NO<sub>3</sub>, content when feeding forages to livestock. Recommended NO<sub>3-</sub> levels while feeding livestock are described in Table 1.

Nitrate (ppm NO <sub>3-</sub> )	<1,500	1,500-5,000	5,000-10,000	>10,000	
Recommendation for feeding	Generally considered safe for all livestock.	Limit to 50% for calves, pregnant, or lactating animals.	Limit to 25-50% feed. <b>Do not</b> feed to pregnant animals.	<b>Do not</b> feed as is. Can cause animal mortalities.	

TABLE 1. Recommended Nitrate Levels for Feeding Livestock, from Nitrate Toxicity of Montana Forages, MT 200205AG.

# **Testing Methods**

The ability to rapidly and accurately test  $NO_{3-}$  levels in annual forages is important for producers in Montana. Currently, many producers test  $NO_{3}$  levels using the Nitrate QuikTest (Figure 1). The Nitrate QuikTest is a qualitative method developed in the 1960s that detects the presence of NO<sub>3</sub>, with a change in color of the testing solution, which consists of diphenylamine in 82% sulfuric acid. This test must be handled with caution and can only be accessed by trained and certified personnel, such as your local Extension Agent. The test is administered by first splitting the stem of a plant longitudinally and then placing 1-2 drops of QuikTest solution onto the lower nodes, where plants tend to accumulate the highest levels of  $NO_{3}$ . If the plant contains a detectable amount of  $NO_{3}$ , the solution will turn dark blue or purple in color (Figure 2). While the test is simple to use, it does not provide a clear, quantitative measure of NO3- level, which is needed to determine whether a forage is safe to feed to livestock (Table 1).

The most accurate method for quantitative  $NO_{3-}$  detection is laboratory analysis. Samples that are sent to the lab are tested using wet chemistry methods that have been approved by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Table 2 (page 3) is a laboratory analysis of barley hay. The analysis in Table 2 reports  $NO_{3-}$  as a percentage; however, each lab is different

and may choose to report nitrate levels as parts per million (ppm) NO<sub>3</sub>., ppm nitrate as nitrogen (NO<sub>3</sub>.N), or as a percentage. Conversion factors can be found in the MontGuide *Nitrate Toxicity of Montana Forages* (<u>MT200205AG</u>).

Forages vary in moisture contents, so it is important to use dry weight/dry matter  $NO_{3-}$  values when developing rations to feed to livestock. This allows comparisons to be made between forages of different moisture content and reflects actual amounts of feed ingredients excluding water content, which can dilute ingredient values. In Table 2, the  $NO_{3-}$  content is 0.46% on a dry weight basis, which is equivalent to 4600 ppm  $NO_{3-}$  (%  $NO_{3-} \times 10,000 =$  ppm). Based on the results of this lab analysis, consumption of this barley hay must be limited to below 50% of feed ration for calves and pregnant or lactating animals (Table 1).

Although laboratory analysis is the most reliable method available, it is also time consuming and costly, with a turn-around time from two days to several weeks. For this reason, other quantitative  $NO_{3-}$  detection tests, such as the Nitrate Strip Test (Figure 3, page 3), are being considered. The Nitrate Strip Test consists of a reducing agent, an acidic buffer, and chemical compounds that interact and produce a red-violet dye. When a reaction occurs,  $NO_{3-}$  levels can be measured semi-quantitatively by visually comparing the reaction zone of the test strip (Figure 4, page 3) to a color scale (Figure 3) representing different categories of  $NO_{3-}$  levels.



FIGURE 1. Nitrate QuikTest

**FIGURE 2.** *Left:* Plant stem prior to QuikTest. *Right:* Plant stem after QuikTest. The dark blue color indicates the presence of nitrate in this plant.

	Level F	Level Found		Reporting		
Analysis	As Received	Dry Weight	Units	Limit	Method	
Sample ID: HBH BARLEY HAY	Lab Number: 1256972	7				
Moisture	14.19	//////	%	0.01	AOAC 930.15 *	
Dry matter	85.81	//////	%	0.010	Calculation *	
Protein (crude)	9.95	11.6	%	0.20	AOAC 990.03 *	
Fiber (acid detergent)	32.5	37.9	%	0.5	ANKOM Tech. Method *	
Fiber (neutral detergent)	52.7	61.4	%	1.0	ANKOM Tech. Method *	
Total digestible nutrients	50.9	59.3	%	0.1	Calculation *	
Net energy (lactation)	0.52	0.61	Mcal/lbs	0.01	Calculation *	
Net energy (maint.)	0.50	0.58	Mcal/lbs	0.01	Calculation *	
Net energy (gain)	0.30	0.35	Mcal/lbs	0.01	Calculation *	
Relative Feed Value		90		0.0	Calculation *	
Nitrate (NO3)	0.40	0.46	%	0.02	EPA 353.2 *	

TABLE 2. Laboratory analysis of barley hay in Montana

FIGURE 3. Nitrate Strip Test



**FIGURE 4.** Strips that have been used to test plants for nitrates

	Species	Nitrate (mg/L NO <sub>3-</sub> )	Feeding Recommendation
	Barley	50,000	DO NOT feed to any livestock
- * . * . * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Corn	10,000	DO NOT feed to pregnant livestock
	Alfalfa	1,000	Safe to feed to livestock

Forage Nitrate Content	
Test Strip Reading (mg/L NO <sub>3</sub> .)	Forage Nitrate (mg/kg NO <sub>3-</sub> )
0	<1,000
10	1,000
25	2,500
50	5,000
100	10,000
250	25,000
500	50,000

**TABLE 3.** Nitrate Strip Test Reading and CorrespondingForage Nitrate Content

Instructions for the Nitrate Strip Test vary depending on the brand selected for use. Generally, forages must be dried and ground prior to testing. These two steps can be done at home using a microwave to dry the forage and either a blender or a coffee grinder to grind the forage. After collecting a fresh forage sample, cut the sample into 1-2 inch lengths using scissors. Spread the cut samples in a single layer onto a microwave dish and microwave on high setting using 30-second intervals or until dry. Be careful not to heat the samples rapidly, or for extended periods of time, to avoid charring. Place the dried sample into a coffee grinder or blender and grind until the particle size of the dried forage resembles that of salt or sugar. Then, combine the ground sample with low  $NO_{3-}$ tap water or distilled water and allow it to soak for about 30 minutes, usually at a ratio of 100 parts water to 1 part forage. Always refer to your protocol for correct amounts. After 30 minutes, dip the Nitrate Strip Test strip into the forage-water mixture for 2 seconds, remove, and allow to react for 1 minute. After 1 minute, the strip can be compared to the color scale provided on the testing kit to determine the semi-quantitative NO<sub>3-</sub> concentration in the forage being tested (Figures 3 and 4; Table 3).

Regardless of the testing method used to letermine  $NO_{3}$  in forage, it is important to obtain representative sample for analysis. Samples are considered adequate for analysis when they represent he variations within a pasture or hay stack as possible. The best way to achieve an adequate sample is to walk n a zig-zag pattern and select clippings randomly hroughout the pasture, or to sample several bales hroughout the stack. The number of samples should ncrease with increasing pasture size or number of vales to achieve a representative sample for the entire vasture. Collected samples should be mixed and either dried, or frozen, prior to shipping to the lab. For more information on collecting a representative forage feed sample for analysis refer to the MontGuide Collecting a Forage or Feed Sample for Analysis (MT201610AG).

#### **Montana Research**

In order to provide more accurate and expedited results to producers, the two quantitative methods for Nitrate detection, the Nitrate QuikTest and the Nitrate Strip Test, were compared to a Nitrate Laboratory Analysis in order to determine test accuracy. See Table 4 for a description of all three analyses. Laboratory analysis is considered to be the "gold standard" for testing because it is the most accurate method for NO<sub>3-</sub> determination. Lab analysis directly measures the NO<sub>3-</sub> concentration contained within the sample via wet chemistry methods. For this reason, both the Strip Test and QuikTest are compared to lab analysis to determine accuracy.

Test	Description	Quantitative or Qualitative	Turnaround time	Price	Training
QuikTest	Rapidly detects the presence of NO <sub>3</sub> in a forage sample on site	Qualitative	Immediate	Free through your local MSU Extension agent	Requires personnel training and certification for use
Strip Test	Rapidly analyzes a semi- quantitative amount of $NO_3$ in a forage sample on site	Semi-quantitative	Immediate	Free through some local MSU Extension agents or \$8-\$80 online, depending on package size	Does not require training or certification
Lab Analysis	Detects a quantitative amount of NO <sub>3</sub> . in a forage sample	Quantitative	2-5 business days	\$16-\$21/sample	Samples are sent to trained personnel

TABLE 4. Comparison of nitrate detection tests available for use by producers.

**FIGURE 5.** Compares the results of samples analyzed using the Strip Test and commercial lab analysis for various forages grown in Montana.



**FIGURE 6.** Compares results from the Nitrate QuikTest with commercial lab analysis for various forages grown in Montana. **Yes** using the QuikTest indicates a positive result, and the presence of nitrates, while **No** indicates a negative result, and lack of nitrates present.



Nitrate QuikTest Compared to Lab Results

**TABLE 5.** A comparison of the accuracy of results of the Strip Test and the QuikTest compared to the laboratory analysis. A false positive means the individual test has higher levels of  $NO_3$  than the laboratory analysis. A false negative means the individual test has lower  $NO_3$  levels than the laboratory analysis.

		Strip Test	QuikTest		
		Sample Number (Percent of Total Sample			
Correct		54 (71%)	45 (71%)		
Incorrect	False +	10 (13%)	15 (23%)		
	False -	12 (16%)	4 (6%)		
Total		76	64		

A two-year study in Montana, conducted by Meccage et al., evaluated  $NO_{3-}$  levels using both the QuikTest and Strip Test, and compared the results to lab analyses. The purpose of the study was to determine the validity of using the Strip Test and QuikTest to detect  $NO_{3-}$  in a production setting, and to evaluate the accuracy of the Strip Test in detecting quantitative  $NO_{3-}$  levels. Data for the study was compiled using samples taken by Montana State University Extension agents across the state. The data consisted of  $NO_{3-}$ detection through the use of the Nitrate QuikTest, Nitrate Strip Test, and commercial laboratory analysis for 16 forage classes in 14 counties.

#### **Effectiveness of Individual Tests**

#### Strip Test

The Strip Test offers producers the opportunity to obtain a semi-quantitative measure of  $NO_{3-}$ accumulation in forage. Nitrate results were then placed into four different categories: Category 1: <1500, Category 2: 1500-5000, Category 3: 5000-10,000, and Category 4: >10,000 ppm NO<sub>3-</sub> (Figure 5, page 5). This study found that the Strip Test was a reasonably reliable method for quantitative  $NO_{3-}$ detection, with an accurate result compared to the commercial analysis 71% of the time (Table 5, page 5). Of the 29% inaccurate estimates, 45% overestimated NO<sub>3-</sub> levels compared to the commercial lab analysis and the remaining 55% underestimated NO<sub>3-</sub> levels compared to the commercial lab analysis. When evaluating the incorrect results, about 73% of them were only off in estimation by one category, but 6 of the 22 incorrect samples were off by at least 2 categories. It is also important to note, that when the strip test yielded a false negative, the results were still always below 5,000 ppm, meaning they would have still been considered "safe" for non-pregnant animals. Caution should be used when feeding pregnant animals, but for open livestock, this test could be a reliable option.

Based on these results, it is recommended that producers are cautious when using the Strip Test to detect quantitative  $NO_{3-}$  values because it was only accurate 71% of the time. To minimize the chance of achieving inaccurate results, it is especially important to obtain a representative sample, and samples that test anywhere near 1500 ppm for  $NO_{3-}$  need to be sent to a commercial laboratory for analysis prior to being fed to livestock.

#### Quik Test

The Nitrate QuikTest offers producers the opportunity to obtain a qualitative measure of  $NO_{3-}$  accumulation in forage, and was found to be similar in accuracy compared to the Strip Test. In this study, the QuikTest reported correct results 71% of the time compared to commercial quantitative analysis (Table 5). Of the total samples, 23% of the samples were false positives, while only 6% were false negatives. This is in accordance with reports from producers who have stated that they commonly have to delay harvest due to QuikTest results, but receive "safe" results after submitting for commercial analysis.

It should be pointed out that of the 4 false negatives, 3 of those samples had a nitrate level around 1900 ppm, which while incorrect and reported as a false negative, is quite close to the recommended threshold safe level for feeding. It is also worth noting that even with the false negative samples reported by the QuikTest, all of those samples were still below 5,000 ppm, and would have been safe to feed to nonpregnant livestock, similar to the Strip Test.

### Summary

Nitrates in plants fed as processed feed, and as forage, are a concern for livestock producers. Quick, accurate methods of quantitative  $NO_{3-}$  detection are crucial to minimize delays in harvest and for turning animals onto  $NO_{3-}$  containing forages. The Nitrate QuikTest and Nitrate Strip Test are two cost-effective methods in which producers can quickly and easily test for the presence of  $NO_{3-}$ . The Nitrate QuikTest is qualitative and is only useful in detecting the presence of  $NO_{3-}$  in plants. The Nitrate Strip Test is semi-quantitative and is limited to categorizing plants into a general range of  $NO_{3-}$  content.

Both of these tests are useful for preliminary NO<sub>3</sub>. detection; however, research indicates that these tests can give misleading and inaccurate results. This research indicates that both of the tests are similar in accuracy, correctly estimating nitrate levels about 70% of the time when compared to a commercial analysis. Of the inaccuracies, the Strip Test was almost equal in reporting false positives versus false negatives, while the QuikTest reported false positives much more commonly. These can both provide a reasonable estimate of assessing nitrate risk, but they cannot be relied upon to give accurate results 100% of the time. There is a benefit to using the Strip Test in that it is a semi-quantitative estimate, and many of the samples that were marked as incorrect still actually detected levels of nitrate, they just did not indicate the appropriate category, or the interpretation of the color change was incorrect. The benefit of the QuikTest is that it much more commonly provided false positives compared to false negatives, decreasing risk of feeding unsafe feedstuffs, however both tests still have potential for inaccurate interpretation.

Caution must be exhibited when utilizing these two tests to detect  $NO_{3-}$  in forages that are meant to be consumed by livestock. Laboratory analysis is still the most reliable method for quantitative  $NO_{3-}$ detection, and although sending samples to the lab is the most time consuming, it is the only way to obtain the actual numerical  $NO_{3-}$  content of a plant. The most important aspect of sampling for  $NO_{3-}$ , regardless of the detection test being used, is obtaining a representative sample. Failure to use a representative sample for analysis will increase the chance of inaccurate results and can be dangerous for livestock.

#### Resources

#### **Commercial Laboratory Analysis**

Information regarding commercial laboratories can be found by contacting a local Extension agent, Extension Forage Specialist, Certified Crop Adviser, or through individual laboratory websites. A complete list of certified laboratories can be found on the MSU Extension Forages Website. Generally, lab protocol will require producers to acquire a representative sample to submit for analysis.

#### Nitrate QuikTest

The Nitrate QuikTest is only available for use by trained and certified personnel. Contact your local Extension agent to access this test or to become certified to use this test.

#### Nitrate Strip Test

The Nitrate QuikTest is only available for use by trained and certified personnel. Contact your local Extension agent to access this test or to become certified to use this test.

#### Terminology for this study

**False negative:** results that indicated lower levels of nitrates using the qualitative test compared to quantitative testing. For the QuikTest, this means there were no color changes, but were positive quantitative results. For the Strip Test, this means that the test estimated the nitrates were in a lower category than the quantitative test.

For example, the Strip Test may indicate that a sample was category 2 (1500-5000ppm  $NO_3$ ), but if the quantitative estimated it was >5000ppm  $NO_3$ , it was marked negative.

False positive: results that indicated higher levels of nitrates using the qualitative tests compared to the quantitative analysis. For the QuikTest, this means that there was a color change but no elevated levels. (<5000ppm  $NO_3$ ) on the quantitative test. For the strip test, this means the test rated the risk as higher than it was.

For example, the Strip Test may indicate that a sample was in a category 3 (5,000-10,000ppm  $NO_3$ ), but it may have actually only been in category 1 or 2 (<5,000ppm  $NO_3$ ) based on the quantitative test.

<sup>\*</sup>The means reported in this publication are least squares means. Least squares means are means that have been adjusted for other terms in a model (county, year, treatment).



To order additional publications, please contact your county or reservation MSU Extension office, visit our online catalog at https://store.msuextension.org or e-mail orderpubs@montana.edu

#### Copyright © 2018 MSU Extension

We encourage the use of this document for nonprofit educational purposes. This document may be reprinted for nonprofit educational purposes if no endorsement of a commercial product, service or company is stated or implied, and if appropriate credit is given to the author and MSU Extension. To use these documents in electronic formats, permission must be sought from the Extension Communications Coordinator, 115 Culbertson Hall, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717; **E-mail: publications@montana.edu** The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Montana State University and Montana State University Extension prohibit discrimination in all of their programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital and family status. Issued in furtherance of cooperative extension work in agriculture and home economics, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Cody Stone, Interim Director of Extension, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717.

**EXTENSION** 



File under: Agriculture and Natural Resources (Forage) Revised May 2019